

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 29 May 2002
Name of applicant/court: Comptroller of Customs
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Laptop HHD\D\Lost Files\Support\10yrpiss.jpg
Other Known Titles: Not Stated
Director: Not Applicable
Producer: Not Applicable
Publisher: Not Applicable
Author: Not Applicable
Format: Computer Printout
Country of Origin: Not Applicable
Language: Not Applicable

.....
Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature: Running time:
Trailers: Running time:
Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The computer printout *Laptop HDD\D\Lost Files\Support\10yrpiss.jpg* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The publication promotes and supports the exploitation of children for sexual purposes and promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The computer printout is a photographic record of the sexual exploitation of a child. The image depicts an adult male using a boy approximately 10 years old in a degrading way to gain sexual gratification from the practice of urolagnia. The purpose of the image is sexual titillation. The publication encourages and validates adult sexual interest in children, and strengthens the idea that children may be exploited for adult sexual use and gratification. The computer printout is also intended to cater for persons with an interest in the use of urine in a sexual context. The depiction of this practice as sexually stimulating endorses and encourages the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

A classification of "objectionable" places a limit on the right to the freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, in relation to this publication, the classification is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC Ref: 200485